

**Qualification Title:** New Zealand Certificate in Sport, Recreation and Exercise (Multi-sector) (Level 3)

**Qualification number:** 3625

**Date of review:** 1 September 2022

This report refers to all graduates awarded this qualification prior to: **31 December 2021**

**Final decision on consistency of the qualification: National consistency is confirmed**

**Threshold:**

The threshold to determine sufficiency with the graduate profile was determined as evidence of the following statements:

- Graduates with the knowledge and skills needed to operate under direct supervision in support roles within and/or across the sport, recreation and exercise industries
- Graduates will be able to operate within a framework of health and wellness in relation to individuals, groups and community needs
- Graduates will contribute to the cultural, social and economic wellbeing of Aotearoa New Zealand.

**Education Organisations with sufficient evidence**

The following education organisations have been found to have sufficient evidence.

MOE Number	Education Organisation	Final rating
6007	Eastern Institute of Technology	Sufficient
8661	New Zealand Management Academies Ltd	Sufficient
6017	Western Institute of Technology at Taranaki Ltd	Sufficient
9328	Skills Update Training and Education Group (Skills Update)	Sufficient

**Introduction**

This level 3 certificate is a 60-credit qualification initially developed by Skills Active Aotearoa and approved by NZQA in 2017. The new qualification developer is Toi Mai Workforce Development Council. The qualification provides individuals with the knowledge and skills needed to operate under direct supervision in support roles within and, or across, the sport, recreation and exercise industries.

## Final Consistency Review Report

Graduates are able to operate within a framework of health and wellness in relation to individuals, groups and community needs. Graduates will contribute to the cultural, social and economic wellbeing of Aotearoa, New Zealand.

NZQA conducted an online consistency review event over a half-day attended by representatives of education organisations who awarded the qualification and the new qualification developer - Toi Mai Workforce Development Council. In total, there were 389 graduates over the reporting period.

### Evidence

The education organisations provided a range of evidence to demonstrate that their graduates met the graduate profile outcomes (GPOs).

The criteria used to judge the evaluation question were:

- The nature, quality and integrity of the evidence presented by the education organisation
- How well the organisation has analysed, interpreted and validated the evidence, and used the understanding gained to achieve actual or improved consistency
- The extent to which the education organisation can reasonably justify and validate claims and statements relating to the consistency of graduate outcomes, including in relation to other providers of programmes leading to the qualification.

Examples of evidence included:

- Programme mapping matrices and programme documents
- Moderation reports and judgement samples
- Course evaluations
- Graduate feedback
- Next level tutor feedback and Industry feedback
- Destination data
- Education pathway performances
- Type change rationales

### **How well does the self-assessment and supporting evidence provided by the education organisation demonstrate that its graduates match the graduate outcomes at the appropriate threshold?**

Education organisations with graduates of this qualification submitted a range of supporting evidence, mostly validating alignment to the graduate profile outcomes of the qualification. Through presentations delivered at the review meeting, including prior submissions of varied types of evidence, the reviewer noted that acquiring employer and industry feedback was challenging for most organisations during the 2020 to 2021 academic years due to the impact of COVID-19.

## **Final Consistency Review Report**

Education organisations consistently matched their courses, learning outcomes and graduate profile outcomes to ensure applicability of learning activities. Overall, moderation activities included internal and external systems for both pre- and post- assessment, and on most occasions the results were positive, with clear actions for improvement following feedback.

Feedback from graduate surveys, on most occasions, supported preparedness and confidence in assessment activities aligned to each graduate profile outcome. Feedback highlighted that graduate cohorts predominantly advanced to next-level study.

The Qualification is scheduled for review later this year. Toi Mai Workforce Development Council (the new qualification developer) is presently consulting with the sector about this qualification. The council representative in attendance advised that significant changes are expected due to industry feedback to date. For the purposes of this review, the review participants chose to maintain the original threshold.

Self-assessment and supporting evidence supplied by the attending organisation demonstrated that graduates met the graduate outcomes at the determined threshold.

### **Special Focus** (includes special focus on a strand or outcome)

None

### **Issues and concerns**

None

### **Recommendations to Qualification Developer**

None